

BB Monitoring & Evaluation Report

Date 30/11/2025 | Deliverable: D6.2 BB Monitoring & Evaluation Report (initial version)



Table of Contents

BB Monitoring & Evaluation Report	1
Table of Contents	2
Project Information	3
Document Information	4
Executive Summary	5
List of Acronyms	6
1. Overview of City Monitoring and Evaluation Process	7
2. City-Level Monitoring & Evaluation Assessments	9
3. Birmingham's City Story as a Bauhaus Bites Trailblazer	11
Birmingham's Bottom-Up Metrics	14
Birmingham's Highlights	19
4. Fundão's City Story as a Bauhaus Bites Trailblazer	21
Fundão's Bottom-Up Metrics	23
Fundão's Highlights	28
5. Zagreb's City Story as a Bauhaus Bites Trailblazer	30
Zagreb's Bottom-Up Metrics	31
Zagreb's Highlights	34
6. Project-Level Metrics & Cross-WP Contributions	35
7. Linking Bottom-Up Metrics to Thematic Indicators	37
8. Conclusion	40
Appendix	42

Project Information

Project title

Bauhaus Bites: Positive
Food Environments Fortified with
Nature-Based Solutions and New
European Bauhaus

Project Duration

1 November 2024 to 31 October
2027

Project number:

101182352

Call

Resilient, inclusive, healthy and green
rural, coastal and urban communities
(HORIZON-CL6-2024-COMMUNITIES-01)

Type of Action

HORIZON Innovation Actions

Deliverable

D6.2 — Monitoring & Evaluation
Report (initial version)

Project Coordinator

Norwegian University of Science
and Technology

Published

30 November 2025

Dissemination Level

PU-Public

Edition 1**License**

CC-BY4.0 Creative Commons
Attribution

Website

bauhausbites.eu



**Funded by
the European Union**

Funded by the European Union under the Grant Agreement nr 101182352. Views and opinions expressed are, however, those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union or European Research Executive Agency (REA). Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.

Document Information

Deliverable	D6.2 BB Monitoring & Evaluation Report (initial version)
Work Package (Title)	WP6 Monitoring and Evaluation
Task No. and Title	T6.3. BB M&E Guide
Dissemination Level	PU – Public
Edition	1
Due Delivery Date	30.11.2025.
Lead Authors	Luke John Schafer (UCPH)
Contributors	Dominic Pender, Sarah Newton (BCC), Mariana Salvado, Fabíola Figueira (CMF), Iva Bedenko, Branka Mrakužić, Matej Vuger (GZ)
Reviewers:	Sladana Lazarević (NTNU)
Lead Beneficiary:	NTNU Norwegian University of Science and Technology
Contributing Beneficiaries and Stakeholder Organisations	Norwegian University of Science and Technology, City of Zagreb, City of Sarajevo, University of Copenhagen, Gehl, Birmingham City Council, WWF Sweden, WWF Adria, ANP WWF, University of Sarajevo, PUSH, City of Ostende, Municipality of Fundão, EAT, Centre for Development and Sustainable Society

Executive Summary

Deliverable 6.2 presents the first assessment of the Bauhaus Bites monitoring and evaluation approach, translating the cities' action plans into measurable bottom-up metrics that reflect early progress across Birmingham, Fundao, and Zagreb. In total, 79 metrics were identified, of which 70 were validated as finalised measures for Assessment 1. These metrics were mapped to 18 thematic indicator classifications covering stakeholder engagement, education and capacity building, equity and inclusion, spatial transformation, healthy soils, and nature-based solutions. This provides a clear and consistent basis for tracking city-level change and linking local activities to the broader Bauhaus Bites outcomes and impact framework.

Assessment 1 focuses only on the three trailblazer cities and the first set of project-level CDE indicators. The four remaining cities will enter the monitoring process in subsequent cycles, and as their activities mature, the total number of bottom-up metrics is expected to grow significantly, potentially exceeding 150 in future assessments. This iteration, therefore, establishes the baseline structure for a multi-cycle process that will become more detailed and comprehensive as implementation progresses.

Through this work, WP6 is already contributing to broader European knowledge ecosystems. The bottom-up metrics, thematic classifications, and cross-city alignment developed here inform the FutureFoods Partnership Observatory for Living Lab Monitoring and support the FOOD 2030 Connected Lab Network. Bauhaus Bites thus positions itself as a methodological contributor to next-generation monitoring of living labs, offering a practical, participatory, and scalable model for evaluating inclusive and sustainable food system transformation.

List of Acronyms

EU	European Union
ESG	Environmental, Social, & Governance
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulations
EU	European Union
ESG	Environmental, Social, & Governance
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulations
EU	European Union
ESG	Environmental, Social, & Governance
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulations
EU	European Union
ESG	Environmental, Social, & Governance
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulations
EU	European Union
ESG	Environmental, Social, & Governance
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulations

1. Overview of City Monitoring and Evaluation Process

Scope

The Monitoring and Evaluation process provides a clear and cohesive framework to support cities in systematically tracking their progress through a bottom-up approach. Each Trailblazer and Twin City develops metrics that directly reflect its local interventions, priorities, and context. This ensures that the diversity of activities across the Bauhaus Bites project is captured in a way that remains grounded in local realities while still connecting meaningfully to project-level ambitions.

This approach builds on the methodological foundation established in the predecessor Deliverable D6.1, which set out the core guidelines, conceptual background, and practical tools for developing the Monitoring and Evaluation process. The present report operationalises those guidelines by applying them to actual city activities and translating them into measurable progress and emerging impacts.

Structure

The report is organised into five key parts.

First, **a background and overview section**, which includes this chapter and draws on the foundations established in D6.1.

Second, **the City-Level Monitoring and Evaluation Assessments**, in which the Monitoring and Evaluation WP6 team engages in one-to-one dialogue with each city to co-create metrics aligned with their objectives and to support data collection.

Third, **the Project-Level Metrics and Cross-WP Contributions section**, where additional work packages contribute their own metrics for integration into the wider Monitoring and Evaluation framework.

Fourth, **the Linking Bottom-Up Metrics to Indicators section**, where city-derived metrics are thematically classified, connected to existing indicator frameworks, and mapped onto

key project impacts and KPIs.

Finally, the report concludes with **key results and reflections**, synthesising the most important project-level findings for clear communication and evaluation.

Deliverable timing and iterations

A central component of the Monitoring and Evaluation process is the structured timeline for data collection, which unfolds across four monitoring cycles: the baseline, Assessment 1 (M13 November 2025), Assessment 2 (M25 December 2026), and Assessment 3 (M36 December 2027). The baseline establishes pre-project conditions, while the subsequent assessments capture short-term progress, mid-term developments, and longer-term outcomes as interventions mature. As a result, D6.2 will serve as a living document, and Monitoring and Evaluation Assessments will be submitted in three iterations throughout the project.

This iterative process is essential for capturing each city's progress over time and for documenting any adjustments or improvements to the Monitoring and Evaluation framework. It allows new or refined metrics to be incorporated whenever necessary, reflecting the adaptive, experimental nature of the living lab approach used across the cities. It also accommodates updates to cross-WP metrics as other work packages further develop their outputs.

The first iteration of D6.2 includes the baseline and Assessment 1 results for the trailblazer cities. It does not yet include metrics or evaluations for the twin cities, as these cities are still in the process of finalising their action plans.

2. City-Level Monitoring & Evaluation Assessments

City Monitoring and Evaluation Process

The city-level monitoring and evaluation approach captures the unique stories, achievements, and measurable progress emerging from each Trailblazer and Twin City. This process is grounded in a bottom-up methodology, ensuring that all metrics are co-created with local stakeholders who best understand their context and have the knowledge and capacity to carry out data collection directly. This locally driven approach strengthens the accuracy, relevance, and ownership of the insights produced.

To streamline assessments and minimise the workload for on-the-ground practitioners, the Monitoring and Evaluation WP6 team developed a simple, reusable structure applied consistently across all cities and the three assessment cycles in D6.2. Each City Monitoring and Evaluation Assessment contains three elements: i) a narrative story describing progress, ii) a set of quantitative bottom-up metrics, and iii) a section highlighting key achievements. Each city's assessment also functions as a standalone document that can be easily extracted from the full deliverable, enabling cities to share their results with constituents, stakeholders, and leadership groups.

This approach is intentionally designed to make the assessment process efficient, practical, and meaningful for city teams while providing clear, communicable outputs that showcase their work and emerging impact. Its flexible and iterative nature also allows new or refined metrics to be incorporated over time as living lab activities evolve and new learning needs arise.

Monitoring & Evaluation Assessment

Birmingham



Bauhaus Bites Project
Assessment 1
30th November 2025

3. Birmingham's City Story as a Bauhaus Bites Trailblazer

A qualitative narrative of the project activities to date

From the outset, Birmingham has recognised the importance of embedding a robust monitoring and evaluation framework to ensure that the Bauhaus Bites programme delivers measurable, sustainable outcomes. To achieve this, we have developed a coherent impact pathway using the CrAfT NEB Impact Model (Annexe). This model provides a structured approach, starting with the NEB Impact Categories, which are then broken down into NEB Indicators. Each indicator has been mapped to Birmingham's specific actions within the local action plan and finally linked to relevant KPIs. This systematic alignment ensures that every activity can be traced back to the overarching NEB values of sustainability, inclusivity, and beauty, as well as its working principles.

For example, under the NEB Impact model's Sustainable Land Use category, indicators such as biodiversity and ecosystem value have been linked to actions including the Culturally Diverse Food Growing Database and the Community Land Use Exploration Report. KPIs have been defined to measure outputs such as the number of culturally diverse crops represented and stakeholder engagement levels. This granular mapping creates transparency and accountability, enabling Birmingham to demonstrate how local actions contribute to European priorities.

To reinforce this alignment, the impact pathway has been cross-referenced with the Food Action Decision-Making and Prioritisation (FADMaP) Tool (Annexe IV). This tool provides a decision-making framework to prioritise actions based on strategic fit, feasibility, and potential impact. By integrating FADMaP early in the process, Birmingham has ensured that the Bauhaus Bites programme reflects both local priorities (as set out in the Birmingham Food System Strategy 2022–2030) and EU Food 2030 objectives, embedding long-term sustainability from the start.

The Birmingham Action Plan has been co-created through a three-phase process designed to maximise inclusivity and shared ownership:

Phase One – Evidence Review and Vision Setting: We began by reviewing existing data and engaging communities to identify local priorities. This informed the development of four strategic objectives focused on social spaces, nature-based growing, food literacy, and NEB legacy.

Phase Two – Stakeholder Engagement and Drafting: Extensive engagement followed, including workshops, forums, and interviews. Partners contributed to shaping actions and KPIs, ensuring alignment with both local and European frameworks. During this phase, we launched an Expression of Interest (EOI) process, which attracted approximately 50 organisations, reflecting strong local commitment.

Phase Three – Consultation and Formal Sign-Off: The draft plan was circulated for consultation, culminating in formal approval by Birmingham City Council’s Senior Management Team in October 2025. This sign-off confirmed timelines, KPIs, and governance arrangements, embedding accountability and transparency.

To sustain momentum, Birmingham has established a multi-tier governance structure:

- Bauhaus Bites Partnership (BBP): Strategic forum to guide direction and share learning.
- Bauhaus Bites Action Group (BBAG): Operational forum meeting bi-monthly to coordinate delivery.
- Integration with the Birmingham Food System Partnership and Health and Wellbeing Board ensures alignment with city-wide strategies and long-term sustainability, as noted in deliverable 2.1 Action Plan and seen in Annexe III.

Monitoring and evaluation are a distinctive feature of Birmingham’s Bauhaus Bites journey. Our baseline is zero, as the pilots represent new, innovative approaches to embedding NEB principles into food environments. This means that all future measurements will directly demonstrate the added value and impact of Bauhaus Bites.

Our evaluation framework combines RAG ratings, process evaluations, and outcome tracking through the CrAFt NEB Impact Model and Transforming Urban Food Systems Outcomes Compass (TUFSOC). KPIs include metrics such as the number of community assets mapped, diversity of contributors to food literacy projects, and functionality of

open-access resources. These outputs will feed into the Bauhaus Bites Playbook, creating transferable tools and case studies for other cities.

Birmingham's Bottom-Up Metrics

A quantitative analysis of progress to date

Birmingham's Objective 1 – Unite a diverse community through social spaces and food

1.1 Develop an understanding of existing community food assets in East Birmingham through mapping

1.2 Apply participatory approaches through a Shared Decisions Fund to co-produce and fund initiatives that strengthen community spaces

Table 1. Quantitative Assessment of Birmingham's Bottom-Up Metrics (From pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 1.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Action 1.1 metrics				
B1.1	Number and type of community food assets mapped and categorised		0	0
B1.2	Number of stakeholders actively involved in co-creation and planning processes for Action 1.1		0	3
B1.3	Number of other examples and best practices scoped in forming Action 1.1		0	5
B1.4	Number of indicators identified to measure how effectively the map supports its intended purpose and user engagement		0	0
B1.5	Case study capturing the process of creating a community asset map for the BB Playbook		0	0
Action 1.1 metrics				
B1.6	Number of project applications received / funded via the Shared Decisions Fund		0	0
B1.7	Number of stakeholders actively involved in		0	0

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
	co-creation and planning processes for Action 1.2			
B1.8	Number of community spaces enhanced with NEB principles (sustainability, inclusivity, beauty)		0	0
B1.9	Case studies and community feedback capturing the impact of participatory funding on social spaces		0	0
B1.10	Case study capturing the process of developing and delivering the Shared Decision Fund for the BB Playbook.		0	0

Birmingham's Objective 2 – Support diversity in growing as a nature-based solution

2.1 Develop a Culturally Diverse Food Growing Database

2.2 Produce a Community Land Use Exploration Report and recommendations for action

Table 2. Quantitative Assessment of Birmingham's Bottom-Up Metrics (From pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 2.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Action 2.1 metrics				
B2.1	Number of other examples and best practices scoped in forming Action 2.1		0	0
B2.2	Number of culturally diverse crops documented in the Culturally Diverse Food Growing Database		0	0
B2.3	Number of stakeholders engaged in co-creating and testing the growing database		0	2
B2.4	Number of indicators identified to assess how well the database meets its intended goals and supports user needs		0	0
B2.5	A resource for BB outlining how to design and implement a methodology to develop a growing database, including key learnings and potential benefits		0	0
Action 2.2 metrics				
B2.6	Number of other examples and best practices scoped in forming Action 2.2		0	0
B2.7	Number of community groups and land users consulted for land-use recommendations.		0	0
B2.8	Number of priorities and perspectives addressed		0	0
B2.9	Number of methods used to disseminate the report (emails, forums and conversations)		0	0
B2.10	Community Land Use Exploration Report outlining how to design and implement a methodology to strengthen community land use		0	0

Birmingham's Objective 3 – Increase food system literacy and utilise creative arts to empower communities

3.1 Develop a Food System Literacy Competency Framework

3.2 Co-produce innovative approaches to increase food system literacy

Table 3. Quantitative Assessment of Birmingham's Bottom-Up Metrics (From pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 3.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Action 3.1 metrics				
B3.1	Number of stakeholders and community members engaged in developing the Food System Literacy Framework.		0	5
B3.2	Number of other examples and best practices scoped in forming Action 3.1		0	6
Action 3.1 metrics				
B3.3	Number of food-literacy or creative arts initiatives delivered under the framework		0	0
B3.4	Quantified diversity of contributors (age, cultural background, gender)		0	0
B3.5	Number of occasions community feedback received on usability and impact of the food literacy resources or framework		0	0
B3.6	Number and type of creative outputs produced (stories, performances, artwork, digital media)		0	0
B3.7	Number of food literacy sources used to strengthen local approaches (desktop study)		0	0

Birmingham's Objective 4 – Develop a legacy where New European Bauhaus principles are embedded into community spaces, and the diversity of communities and food is celebrated

4.1 Co-produce resources with people from food environments identified in objective areas 1, 2 and 3

Table 4. Quantitative Assessment of Birmingham's Bottom-Up Metrics (From pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 4.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
B4.1	Number of resources or pilots co-produced, integrating learning from Objectives 1–3		0	0
B4.2	Percentage of participants reporting an increased sense of trust, belonging, and community connection.		0	0

Birmingham's Highlights

A synthesis of key achievements and notable progress

1

Co-created Birmingham
Bauhaus Bites Action
Plan

Partners who submitted
an Expression of
Interest form for
Bauhaus Bites

46

"So much
potential for
change"

Monitoring & Evaluation Assessment

Fundão



Bauhaus Bites Project
Assessment 1
30th November 2025

4. Fundão's City Story as a Bauhaus Bites Trailblazer

A qualitative narrative of the project activities to date

The Municipality of Fundão, in close collaboration with WWF Portugal and local stakeholders, embraced the Bauhaus Bites journey as an opportunity to reflect on the role of food systems in driving sustainability, inclusion, and placemaking in rural areas. Building on a decade-long trajectory of innovation and strategic investment in the local ecosystem, Fundão's involvement in the project aims to bridge its rural identity with creative experimentation, cultural diversity, and sustainable food practices.

From the outset, Fundão adopted a participatory approach, guided by the New European Bauhaus (NEB) values of sustainability, aesthetics, and inclusion. The journey began with a comprehensive mapping of local stakeholders across the quadruple helix, resulting in the identification of 27 actors from the public, academic, civil society, and private sectors. These stakeholders formed the backbone of the local co-creation process and helped shape the city's vision for a more resilient and culturally vibrant food system.

Eight in-depth interviews were conducted with selected stakeholders using structured guidelines developed by WWF Portugal. These interviews provided critical insights into local food dynamics, challenges, and aspirations, and directly informed the development of the Fundão Local Action Plan. This collaborative process culminated in a co-creation workshop held on 9 July 2025, with participants reviewing the proposed actions of the Action Plan, assessing their relevance and feasibility, and suggesting improvements. The session also served to initiate the creation of a Local Advisory Team, a body of trusted local actors who will accompany the pilot's implementation and ensure continuity beyond the project lifecycle. Several stakeholders expressed interest in formally joining the Local Advisory Team, namely Rural Move, BioEco, Startup León, LógicaBio, and the Associação de Agricultores da Região de Castelo Branco. Additional suggestions for potential members were also received during the workshop.

Fundão Local Action Plan structures its interventions around five key objectives: (1) improve municipal governance structures in relation to food issues; (2) transform the Municipal Market and the municipal restaurant into interconnected Food Lab spaces that celebrate cultural diversity and sustainability; (3) enhance food literacy among school communities; (4) strengthen capacities and connect local actors in the food sector through digital and circular tools; and (5) leverage Nature-Based Solutions (NbS) to transform the local production system. These objectives are translated into concrete actions, already underway or soon to be launched.

A core element of Fundão's Action Plan is the transformation of the first floor of the Municipal Market into the Fundão Food Lab, a multifunctional space dedicated to sustainable gastronomy, intercultural dialogue, and food literacy. The architectural concept for this renovation was developed in partnership with UBI's Architecture School and inspired by ideas collected during three workshops held with students, representatives of migrant communities (Afghan, African, Muslim, and Indian), and a chef. The future space is intended not only as a physical intervention but also as a symbolic gesture towards openness, plurality, and regeneration. These interactions were pivotal in designing the physical and functional dimensions of the Fundão Food Lab, currently being finalised with the support of UBI.

The municipality maintained a strong coordination effort with its partners and ensured continuity across planning phases. Alignment with New European Bauhaus (NEB) values of sustainability, aesthetics, and inclusion was ensured through both the physical design of the Food Lab and the inclusive process that preceded it.

The Fundão team, together with WWF Portugal, continues to refine and implement the Action Plan. The BB journey in Fundão is an evolving story of co-ownership, where the past and future of the territory converge through food, creativity, and sustainability.

Overall, Fundão's city story within Bauhaus Bites exemplifies how small rural municipalities can act as living labs for transition, testing integrated solutions that are rooted in local identity and globally aligned with EU values. The process has empowered a wide range of voices and laid the foundations for a long-term transformation in how food is produced, consumed, and celebrated in the region.

Fundão's Bottom-Up Metrics

A quantitative analysis of objectives' progress to date

Fundão's Objective 1 – Improve municipal governance structures in relation to food issues

Action 1.1 – Creation and development of a Local Food Council

Action 1.2 – Integrate sustainability criteria into public food procurement

Table 5. Quantitative Assessment of Fundao's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 1.

No .	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Fl.1	Number and diversity of stakeholders identified for the Local Food Council (LFC) during the planning phase	Twenty-one different entities representing the quadruple helix were mapped for the Local Food Council.	0	21
Fl. 2	Number and diversity of stakeholders represented in the Local Food Council (LFC)	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
Fl. 3	Attendance and engagement in co-creation and training sessions (LFC & sustainable procurement)	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
Fl. 4	Number of policy or procedural recommendations delivered to the municipality	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
Fl. 5	Number of meetings or actions implemented by the LFC	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0

Fundão's Objective 2– Transform the Municipal Market and the municipal restaurant into interconnected Food Lab spaces that celebrate cultural diversity and sustainability

Action 2.1 – Renovate and integrate both facilities to support training, community events, and sustainable food innovation

Action 2.2 – Promote food literacy through community-wide events on sustainability

Action 2.3 – Cultural events and seasonal food fairs

Action 2.4 – Digital awareness and dissemination campaigns

Table 6. Quantitative Assessment of Fundao's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 2.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
F2.1	Square metres of space renovated and actively used as Food Lab facilities	The Fundão Food Lab project is currently being finalised with UBI's support.	0	0
F2.2	Number and type of hosted events (educational, cultural, gastronomic)	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F2.3	Number of students, cultural mediators, and community groups participating in co-design activities	Three workshops held.	0	22
F2.4	Participation rates of under-represented groups (youth, migrants, elderly) in Food Lab activities	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F2.5	Estimated monthly/yearly visitor numbers to the Food Lab	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F2.6	Number of external visitors or cities exploring replication of the Food Lab model	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0

Fundão's Objective 3 – Enhancing food literacy among school communities

Action 3.1 – Develop awareness-raising actions to increase food literacy in the school community

Action 3.2 – Young Ambassadors for Food Sustainability Programme

Table 7. Quantitative Assessment of Fundao's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 3.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-pro ject)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
F3.1	Number of food-literacy workshops, talks, or training sessions delivered	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F3.2	Number of schools, libraries, and associations involved	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F3.3	Total participants and demographic breakdown (age, gender, residence address, district of origin, country of origin, level of education)	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F3.4	Pre/post food-literacy self-assessment scores or feedback from schools	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F3.5	Number of youth-led micro-projects implemented through the Young Ambassadors programme	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0

Fundão's Objective 4 – Strengthen capacities and connect local actors in the food sector through digital and circular tools

Action 4.1 – Consolidate and boost the “Produtos do Fundão” platform

Action 4.2 – Support local cooperatives in strengthening their logistical capacity for greater distribution of sustainable food

Table 8. Quantitative Assessment of Fundao's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 4.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-pro ject)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
F4.1	Number of producers showcased on “Produtos do Fundão” platform	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F4.2	Number of users accessing local producer recipes on the platform	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F4.3	Number of new collaborations or connections between the platform and the Food Lab	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0

Fundão's Objective 5 – Leverage Nature-Based Solutions (NBS) to transform the local production system

Action 5.1 – Raise awareness and demonstrate the potential of NbS to contribute to a more resilient food system

Table 9. Quantitative Assessment of Fundao's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 5.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments & Clarifications	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
F5.1	Number of NBS awareness or training sessions conducted	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F5.2	Number of farmers, producers, and students engaged in awareness activities	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F5.3	Hectares or sites covered by grazing or NBS management plans	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0
F5.4	Number of agro-pastoral or NBS-related products promoted online and at events.	Activities in the planning phase.	0	0

Fundão's Highlights

A synthesis of key achievements and notable progress

21

different entities
mapped for
the Local
Food Council

students, cultural
mediators, and
others
participating in
FoodLab
co-design
activities

22

"Change begins when
communities come
together to reimagine
the places they call
home."

Monitoring & Evaluation Assessment

Zagreb



Bauhaus Bites Project
Assessment 1
30th November 2025

5. Zagreb's City Story as a Bauhaus Bites Trailblazer

A qualitative narrative of the project activities to date

The City of Zagreb has a rich history of intertwining the urban and the agricultural. Following the footsteps of that tradition, and encouraged by grassroots urban gardening initiatives, in 2013, Zagreb introduced the allotment garden project. Therapeutic gardens were introduced after the city received multiple requests to create gardens accessible to people with disabilities. The Bauhaus Bites project has provided an opportunity to enrich these schemes by implementing a hybrid intervention, an inclusive and therapeutic gardening common area on an urban garden placed in the heart of the Modernist Zagreb.

Planning such a space requires active involvement from the community, especially current and future users of the urban garden and common areas. Guided by the experience from previous projects featuring participatory design, for the pilot intervention, Zagreb has launched a series of workshops whose goal was to collect extensive input so that the design would be well-informed.

In accordance with the principles of the New European Bauhaus, a young company managed by women was hired to design the spaces. The company has extensive experience in the design of public and private green spaces, as well as in participatory design processes that go beyond the usual framework.

Zagreb's Bottom-Up Metrics

A quantitative analysis of objectives' progress to date

Zagreb's Objective 1 – Promote accessibility and inclusion in urban gardening

Table 10. Quantitative Assessment of Zagreb's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 1.

No.	Bottom-Up Metric	Comments	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Z1.1	Number of participatory design workshops		0	5
Z1.2	Workshops involving users with accessibility needs	10 new applicants, six were approved	0	2
Z1.3	No. of applicants for the urban garden	10 new applicants, six were approved	0	10
Z1.4	Number and type of expert stakeholders involved, e.g. therapists, elderly care, teachers, school children	2- teachers, therapists	0	2
Z1.5	How many expert stakeholders are actively involved in the pilot area	TBC	/	/
Z1.6	Community satisfaction *perhaps garden user feedback via verbal day-to-day interactions or via email	TBC, we should identify a simple metric for this	/	/
Z1.7	Number of user feedbacks integrated into future workshop planning and design revisions	TBC, related to the community satisfaction metric above	/	/
Z1.8	Percentage (estimated number) of user feedback with improved well-being or therapeutic benefit from participation in gardening	TBC, perhaps set a regular check-in with the therapists from the CR (centre for rehabilitation)	/	/
Z1.9	Number of accessibility audits conducted to assess inclusive design and usability	TBC, to be made before and after intervention	/	/

Zagreb's Objective 2 – Foster social cohesion and intergenerational connection

Table 11. Quantitative Assessment of Zagreb's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 2.

No.	Bottom-Up Metrics	Comments	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Z2.1	Demographic diversity of urban garden users	TBC. More development needed to define what kind of change is expected	/	/
Z2.2	Demographic diversity of workshop participation	*sensitive age-related metrics given as a range	/	8-86
Z2.3	Number of partnerships established with nearby housing blocks, schools, rehabilitation and elderly care centres		2	3
Z2.4	Number of intergenerational sharing events held (e.g. storytelling, cooking, planting sessions)	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/
Z2.5	Frequency of group gardening or maintenance sessions involving multiple generations	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/
Z2.6	Number of advisory board meetings held and diversity of stakeholders engaged in governance	TBC, advisory board needs to be established	/	/

Zagreb's Objective 3 – Leverage existing public green spaces for inclusive food education

Table 12. Quantitative Assessment of Zagreb's Bottom-Up Metrics (from pre-project start as a Baseline to Assessment 1) for objective 3.

No.	Bottom-Up Metrics	Comments	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass. 1 (30 th Nov. 2025)
Z3.1	Number of natural elements co-designed with the community (e.g. signage, edible plants, sensory features)	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/
Z3.2	Number of multisensory design features implemented (e.g. tactile signs, fragrant plants, shaded rest areas)	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/
Z3.3	Number of additional urban gardens assessed for replication of the inclusive garden model	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/
Z3.4	Number of educational or awareness activities held in public green spaces focused on inclusive food and sustainability	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/
Z3.5	Number of biodiversity-friendly species or natural elements introduced in redesigned areas	TBC, once the pilot action is fully implemented	/	/

Zagreb's Highlights

A synthesis of key achievements and notable progress

"Urban gardening in itself is therapeutic to me"

The engagement process successfully identified children's demand for an adventure playground in this area

It remains challenging to recognise young people, who sometimes vandalise the gardens, as users with their own needs

6. Project-Level Metrics & Cross-WP Contributions

This section presents the project-level metrics that complement the city-level data. These metrics are provided by various partners to WP6 and reflect progress across the wider Bauhaus Bites consortium. In Assessment 1, the contributions come primarily from the Communication, Dissemination and Exploitation (CDE) work package. Future assessments will expand this section to include inputs from additional work packages, including activities related to financially viable partnerships and impact monitoring guided by the BB Impact Canvas.

Key Performance Indicators for CDE

Based on Deliverable 7.2, the table below summarises seven project-level CDE metrics under the Bauhaus Bites plan, which will follow the same indicator classification as city-level metrics

Table 13. Key Performance Indicators for Communication Dissemination and Exploitation as published in D7.2

Metrics for CDE KPIs *
9 outreach activities to promote mainstreaming of BB
At least 30 sister projects, networks and platforms cooperated with, e.g. sharing of project knowledge and materials
At least 15 cooperation events and outreach activities were held to establish relations with sister projects, partners, and networks
At least 500 participants are attending events and workshops held by the project
At least 75 cities, policymakers and relevant initiatives that receive the BB innovation report, BB portfolio, vision document and other project outputs
At least 10 grassroots organisations or similar partners engaged with by cities
At least 1000 citizens reached by the project (digital + physical)

**These are the metrics as listed in D7.2, and data collection and reporting on these metrics remains under WP7 activities, which have been aligned with the forecasted Monitoring and Evaluation Assessment 2 and 3 for further harmonisation with D6.2 iterations.*

Project Level Outputs, Outcomes and Impact

The bottom-up metrics collected from cities will contribute to the project's Outputs, Outcomes and Impacts, listed in the following table. Aligning city metrics with project-level goals requires cross-WP collaboration and will be supported through tools such as the BB Impact Canvas and the Playbook. This will be completed in the subsequent Monitoring and Evaluation Assessment once all city metrics are finalised.

Table 14. Project Level Outputs, Outcomes and Impact to be matched with bottom-up metrics in subsequent Assessments

Project Outputs, Outcomes and Impacts	
OUTPUTS	7 Action & Strategy Plans
	7 positively transformed BB Food Environments
	7 LATs, 10 local/regional stakeholders each
	10 financially viable partnership models
	20 place- and community-based interventions, and 12 other types of ERs registered and reported on the BB website as one e-marketplace
OUTCOMES	O#1: 7 positively transformed food environments that enhance sustainable food system transformation by applying the vision of New European Bauhaus (NEB).
	O#2: 1,000 reconnected and engaged citizens with nature and healthy and sustainable food for their well-being and health while providing multifunctional benefits, such as biodiversity.
IMPACTS	Resilient, inclusive, healthy and green rural, coastal and urban communities" (EI#5)
	20 urban and peri-urban communities to replicate BB interventions.
	Innovative ways to tailor policy responses to the place-based challenges and needs identified at various levels of governance, contributing to the implementation of linked European strategies.
	1,000+ people in vulnerable situations able to access, afford and choose healthy, nutritious and environment-friendly food.
	1,000+ inhabitants have raised awareness and engagement in the benefit of nature and the importance of healthy and sustainable food, empowered to act as ambassadors in their communities.

7. Linking Bottom-Up Metrics to Thematic Indicators

Process for Classifying City-level Metrics with Indicator Categories

The process of linking bottom-up metrics to broader indicator frameworks begins with compiling all city-level and project-level metrics into a unified dataset. This includes the full set of more than one hundred bottom-up metrics provided in the annexed Excel file. These bottom-up metrics represent direct measurements of local activities, outcomes and engagement. Once consolidated, they are reviewed and compared against a set of thematic **indicators** derived from established frameworks relevant to Bauhaus Bites, including NEB values, sustainable food system principles and related urban transition models. This step does not convert city metrics into indicators but instead uses thematic indicators as interpretive categories that help organise and contextualise the local metrics.

Each bottom-up metric is then matched with one or more thematic indicators or project KPIs, supported by a brief justification describing the nature of the linkage. This pairing shows how local, context-specific metrics contribute evidence toward broader project ambitions. In this way, bottom-up metrics serve as the empirical foundation, while thematic indicators function as the analytical lens for understanding progress toward food system transformation, community well-being, and alignment with NEB principles.

Although WP6 provides measurable bottom-up metrics and an initial thematic classification, the full interpretation of these linkages and their integration into broader indicator frameworks is beyond the scope of this deliverable. This deeper evaluative work will be carried forward through cross-WP collaboration in future stages of the project, including activities linked to the BB Impact Canvas, the Playbook and other impact-led processes.

Table 15. Overarching Indicator themes and the corresponding number of bottom-up metrics

Indicator thematic classification	No. of bottom-up metrics
Communication & Awareness	18
Cross-Cutting Impact & Strategic Integration	17
Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience	7
Digital Innovation & Transparency	1
Economic & Markets	4
Education & Capacity Building	22
Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity	19
Food Diversity	1
Food Literacy & Cultural Identity	7
Governance & Policy Innovation	8
Healthy soils	5
Nature-Based Solutions & Biodiversity	5
Participatory Methods	5
Replicability & Scalability	11
Spatial Transformation & Accessibility	6
Social Cohesion & Trust	8
Stakeholder Engagement & Co-Creation	33
Strengthening Partnerships	15

Across the three Bauhaus Bites trailblazer cities, a total of 79 bottom-up metrics were compiled for Assessment 1. Of these, 70 metrics represent the finalised, action-plan-validated measures co-developed with Birmingham, Fundão, and Zagreb following completion of their WP4 implementation plans. The remaining metrics were retained for methodological completeness and benchmarking against the initial design phase.

To support coherent cross-city aggregation and alignment with the overarching Bauhaus Bites indicator architecture, each bottom-up metric was mapped against a set of thematic indicator classifications refined under WP6. This mapping highlights the multi-dimensional character of each intervention and confirms that individual metrics often contribute to multiple thematic domains, reflecting the interconnected nature of food system, design, and governance transformations.

Based on this process, WP6 has derived a consolidated set of 18 higher-level thematic indicators, each directly supported by the validated bottom-up metrics. These indicators now form the backbone of the BB monitoring framework and serve as a bridge between local action, project-wide learning, and broader policy agendas including the New European Bauhaus, the Sustainable Food System (SFS) Framework, and FOOD 2030 priorities.

The distribution of thematic classifications demonstrates strong coherence across cities while capturing the differentiated emphases of each pilot (see tables in the Appendix for full details):

- Stakeholder Engagement & Co-Creation (33 metrics) emerged as the strongest cluster, underscoring the centrality of participatory governance and community-led design.
- Substantial activity was also observed in Education & Capacity Building (22), Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity (19), Communication & Awareness (18), and Cross-Cutting Impact & Strategic Integration (17)—reflecting the importance of inclusive, educational, and systemic interventions across all three trailblazers.
- Secondary clusters—including Strengthening Partnerships (15), Replicability & Scalability (11), Governance & Policy Innovation (8), Social Cohesion & Trust (8), and Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience (7)—demonstrate how cities are building new governance structures, improving public spaces, and generating transferable models.
- Specialised thematic areas such as Healthy Soils (5), Nature-Based Solutions & Biodiversity (5), and Economic & Markets / Sustainable Food Economy (4) reveal the emergence of ecological and market-oriented workstreams, notably in Fundão and Zagreb.
- Digital Innovation & Transparency (1) reflects pioneering work on blockchain-based traceability and digital engagement.

8. Conclusion

WP6 has positioned Bauhaus Bites as a leading example of bottom-up, community-rooted monitoring for food system living labs, producing a methodology that extends far beyond the project itself. The metrics, thematic indicators, and cross-city alignment developed to date already inform the FutureFoods Partnership Observatory for Living Lab Monitoring, offering a robust and field-tested blueprint for capturing community-level change, documenting multisector partnerships, and integrating participatory, ecological and spatial dimensions of transformation. WP6 also contributes to the emerging FOOD 2030 Connected Lab Network, where the 18 derived thematic indicators provide a ready-made structure for harmonised monitoring, cross-lab comparability, and shared policy learning across Europe's expanding ecosystem of living labs.

It is important to note that this first iteration of D6.2 draws on inputs from only three of the seven Bauhaus Bites cities, namely the trailblazers Birmingham, Fundão, and Zagreb, together with the project-level CDE monitoring metrics. As the remaining four cities progress through their implementation phases and as data collection processes become more refined, the number and diversity of bottom-up metrics are expected to expand substantially. Through this ongoing consolidation and expansion, WP6 ensures that Bauhaus Bites evolves from an aligned contributor to a methodological leader, providing reusable monitoring templates, supporting cross-project knowledge exchange, and shaping how socially inclusive, aesthetically grounded, and ecologically informed food system transitions are measured across Europe.

About Bauhaus Bites

The Bauhaus Bites project serves over 1000 people by providing affordable, healthy, and nutritious food that is environmentally friendly. It raises awareness and engages individuals about the benefits of nature and the significance of sustainable food, empowering them to become ambassadors in their communities. Additionally, it implements over 20 place-based and community-based interventions and innovations. Ultimately, innovative ways to tailor policy responses to the specific challenges and needs identified at various levels of governance contribute to the implementation of interconnected European strategies.

The Bauhaus Bites Food Environments are urban and peri-urban ecosystems that commit to implementing sustainable, healthy diets, amplified by the New European Bauhaus and fortified with Nature-Based Solutions. They will ensure that the transformed food environments of tomorrow are sustainable, inclusive, and beautiful.



Appendix

This appendix presents the full set of city-level bottom-up metrics that inform the Bauhaus Bites monitoring and evaluation framework. For each metric, the table includes the baseline values, the first assessment snapshot at Month 13, and its alignment with the thematic indicator classifications used across WP6. Short justifications accompany these classifications to illustrate how each metric contributes to one or more thematic domains. Together, the tables provide a transparent and harmonised view of the evidence base drawn from the three trailblazer cities, supporting cross-city comparison, future assessments, and integration into the wider project-level monitoring architecture.

Bauhaus Bites Monitoring and Evaluation Bottom up metrics (WP6)						Thematic Indicator Classification																			
No.	City	Object	Action	Code	Bottom-Up Metric	Baseline (pre-project)	Ass.1 (M13 - 30th November 2025)	18	17	7	1	4	22	19	1	7	8	5	5	5	11	6	8	33	15
								Communication & Awareness	Cross-Cutting Impact & Integration	Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience	Digital Innovation & Transparency	Economic & Markets	Education & Capacity Building	Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity	Food Diversity	Food Literacy & Cultural Identity	Governance & Policy Innovation	Healthy soils	Nature-Based Solutions & Biodiversity	Participatory Methods	Replicability & Scalability	Spatial Transformation & Accessibility	Social Cohesion & Trust	Stakeholder Engagement & Co-Creation	Strengthening Partnerships
1	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.01	Number and type of community food assets mapped and categorised	0	0	3						captures diverse community assets								understanding spaces for improvement		mapping requires local involvement	
2	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.02	Number of stakeholders actively involved in co-creation and planning processes for Action 1.1	0	3	3															collaborative planning builds trust	direct co-creation activity	builds cross-creation group relationships
3	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.03	Number of the re-examples and best practice scoped in forming Action 1.1	0	3	3		informs strategic design			learning from external examples								exploring transdisciplinary models				
4	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.04	Number of indicators identified to measure how effectively the map supports its intended purpose and user engagement	0	0	3		map used for public engagement	supports strategic evaluation													ensures tool is used driven	
5	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.05	Case study capturing the process of creating a community asset map for the BB Playbook	0	0	3		narrative shared with community											documentation for Playbook			participatory mapping process	
6	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.06	Number of project applications received / funded via Shared Decision Fund	0	0	2		resource allocation mechanism															fosters collaboration networks
7	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.07	Number of stakeholders actively involved in co-creation and planning processes for Action 1.2	0	0	2															shared planning builds cohesion		fosters collaboration networks
8	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.08	Number of community spaces enhanced with NEB principles (sustainability, inclusivity, beauty)	0	0	3		NEB focus on beauty				inclusive design principles								upgrading public spaces			
9	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.09	Case studies and community feedback capturing impact of participatory funding on social spaces	0	0	3		shares learnings publicly													measures community trust impacts	reflects participatory process	
10	Birmingham	Object	Action	B1.10	Case study capturing the process of developing and delivering the Shared Decision Fund for the BB Playbook	0	0	2													Playbook documentation			driven by co-decision process	
11	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.01	Number of the re-examples and best practice scoped in forming Action 2.1	0	0	1		informs methodology design															
12	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.02	Number of culturally diverse crops documented in the Culturally Diverse Food Growing Database	0	0	3						reflects cultural growing practice	tracks crop variety										relies on community input
13	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.03	Number of stakeholders engaged in co-creating and testing the growing database	0	2	3					improved skills for stakeholders					soil regeneration is NUS-aligned	soil regeneration is NUS-aligned						stakeholders co-develop resource
14	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.04	Number of indicators identified to assess how well the database meets its intended goals and supports user needs	0	0	2		supports user friendly monitoring	designing evaluation tools														collaborative database building
15	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.05	A resource for BB outlining how to design and implement methodology to develop growing database, including key learnings and potential benefits	0	0	2						documents learning processes								translatable guidance material			

Bauhaus Bites Monitoring and Evaluation Bottom up metrics (WP6)								Thematic Indicator Classification																	
No.	City	Obj	Action	Code	Bottom-Up Metric	Baseline <i>(pre-project)</i>	Ass. 1 <i>(M13 - 30th November 2025)</i>	18 Communication & Awareness	17 Cross-Cutting Impact & Strategic Integration	7 Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience	1 Digital Innovation & Transparency	4 Economic & Markets	22 Education & Capacity Building	19 Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity	1 Food Diversity	7 Food Literacy & Cultural Identity	8 Governance & Policy Innovation	5 Healthy soils	5 Nature-Based Solutions & Biodiversity	5 Participatory Methods	11 Replicability & Scalability	6 Spatial Transformation & Accessibility	8 Social Cohesion & Trust	33 Stakeholder Engagement & Co-Creation	15 Strengthening Partnerships
16	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.06	Number of other examples and best practice scoped in forming Action 2.2	0	0	1												identifying adaptable models					
17	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.07	Number of community groups and land users consulted for land-use recommendations	0	0	2																community involved directly	building land-use relationships
18	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.08	Number of priorities and perspectives addressed	0	0	1										informs soil-related planning							
19	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.09	Number of methods used to disseminate the report (emails, forums and conversations)	0	0	2	direct communication				Educational content disseminated												
20	Birmingham	Object	Action	B2.10	Community Land Use Exploration Report outlining how to design and implement a methodology to strengthen community land use	0	0	4	designing evaluation tools				supports community learning				includes land-use, urban ecology, green spaces				methodological transferability				
21	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.01	Number of stakeholders and community members engaged in developing the Food System Literacy Framework	0	5	3					literacy-focused development			stakeholders involved in food literacy activities								stakeholders involved in food literacy activities	
22	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.02	Number of other examples and best practice scoped in forming Action 3.1	0	6	1	shapes framework design																
23	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.03	Number of food-literacy or creative arts initiatives delivered under the framework	0	0	2					structured learning activities			literacy and cultural learning									
24	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.04	Quantified diversity of contributors (age, cultural background, gender)	0	0	2						measures inclusivity										diverse contributors engaged	
25	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.05	Number of occasions community feedback received on usability and impact of the food literacy resources or framework	0	0	2								informs literacy content				direct stakeholder feedback and improvement					
26	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.06	Number and type of creative outputs produced (stories, performances, artwork, digital media)	0	0	1	outputs disseminated widely																
27	Birmingham	Object	Action	B3.07	Number of food literacy sources used to strengthen local approaches (desktop study)	0	0	2	shapes methodology							informs literacy content									
28	Birmingham	Object	Action	B4.01	Number of resources or pilots co-produced integrating learning from Objectives 1–3	0	0	1	integrates across themes																
29	Birmingham	Object	Action	B4.02	Percentage of participants reporting increased sense of trust, belonging, and community connection	0	0	2		NEB principles shape experience													directly measures trust/belonging		
30	Fundación	Object	Action	F1.01	Number and diversity of stakeholders identified for the Local Food Council (LFC) during the planning phase	0	21	3						ensuring diverse representation			forming new governance structure							identifying participant groups	

Bauhaus Bites Monitoring and Evaluation Bottom up metrics (WP6)								Thematic Indicator Classification																		
No.	City	Obj	Actio	Code	Bottom-Up Metric	Baseline <i>(pre-project)</i>	Ass.1 <i>(M13 - 30th November 2025)</i>	No.	18	17	7	1	4	22	19	1	7	8	5	5	5	11	6	8	33	15
									Communic ation & Awareness	Cross- Cutting Impact & Strategic Integration	Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience	Digital Innovation & Transparen cy	Economic & Markets	Education & Capacity Building	Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity	Food Diversity	Food Literacy & Cultural Identity	Governanc e & Policy Innovation	Healthy soils	Nature- Based Solutions & Biodiversit y	Participato ry Methods	Replicabilit y & Scalability	Spatial Transform ation & Accessibilit y	Social Cohesion & Trust	Stakeholder Engagemen t & Co- Creation	Strengthen ing Partnershi ps
31	Fundac	Object	Action	F1.02	Number and diversity of stakeholders represented in the Local Food Council (LFC)	0	0	3										council membership diversity							active representation	structured collaboration platform
32	Fundac	Object	Action	F1.03	Attendance and engagement in co-creation and training sessions (LFC & sustainable procurement)	0	0	3						training in procurement				shaping procurement rules							co-design participation	
33	Fundac	Object	Action	F1.04	Number of policy or procedural recommendations delivered to the municipality	0	0	2		affects multiple sectors								formal recommendations issued								
34	Fundac	Object	Action	F1.05	Number of meetings or actions implemented by the LFC	0	0	2										council activity performance							transparent decision-making	
35	Fundac	Object	Action	F2.01	Square metres of space renovated and actively used as Food Lab facilities	0	0	2			NEB-aligned upgrade													renovated usable spaces		
36	Fundac	Object	Action	F2.02	Number and type of hosted events (educational, cultural, gastronomic)	0	0	2						training and workshops			cultural food events									
37	Fundac	Object	Action	F2.03	Number of students, cultural mediators, and community groups participating in co-design activities	0	22	4			co-designed NEB features				diverse participant groups						collaborative design process				collaborative design process	
38	Fundac	Object	Action	F2.04	Participation rates of under-represented groups (youth, migrants, elderly) in Food Lab activities	0	0	2							measuring equitable access										inclusive engagement	
39	Fundac	Object	Action	F2.05	Estimated monthly / yearly visitor numbers to the Food Lab	0	0	2	engagement visibility																degree of public use	
40	Fundac	Object	Action	F2.06	Number of external visitors or cities exploring replication of the Food Lab model	0	0	3		model influencing others												interest in adoption				inter-city exchanges
41	Fundac	Object	Action	F3.01	Number of food-literacy workshops, talks, or training sessions delivered	0	0	3	community outreach					direct training activity			literacy focus									
42	Fundac	Object	Action	F3.02	Number of schools, libraries, and associations involved	0	0	5	community outreach					direct training activity	diverse institutions included										organisational involvement	broad coalition building
43	Fundac	Object	Action	F3.03	Total participants and demographic breakdown (age, gender, residence address, district of origin, country of origin, level of education)	0	0	1							tracks representation											
44	Fundac	Object	Action	F3.04	Pre/post food-literacy self-assessment scores or feedback from schools	0	0	2						learning outcomes measured			literacy improvement									
45	Fundac	Object	Action	F3.05	Number of youth-led micro-projects implemented through the Young Ambassadors programme	0	0	4	public visibility of projects					youth co-production	youth co-production										youth co-production	

Bauhaus Bites Monitoring and Evaluation Bottom up metrics (WP6)								Thematic Indicator Classification																		
No.	City	Object	Action	Code	Bottom-Up Metric	Baseline <i>(pre-project)</i>	Ass.1 <i>(M13 - 30th November 2025)</i>	No.	18 Communication & Awareness	17 Cross-Cutting Impact & Strategic Integration	7 Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience	1 Digital Innovation & Transparency	4 Economic & Markets	22 Education & Capacity Building	19 Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity	1 Food Diversity	7 Food Literacy & Cultural Identity	8 Governance & Policy Innovation	5 Healthy soils	5 Nature-Based Solutions & Biodiversity	5 Participatory Methods	11 Replicability & Scalability	6 Spatial Transformation & Accessibility	8 Social Cohesion & Trust	33 Stakeholder Engagement & Co-Creation	15 Strengthening Partnerships
46	Fundac	Object	Action	F4.01	Number of producers showcased on “Produtos do Fundão” platform	0	0	3				online platform use	supports local producers													linking producers to market
47	Fundac	Object	Action	F4.02	Number of users accessing local producer recipes on the platform	0	0	3					supports market linkages	builds capacity for viewers												new actor connections
48	Fundac	Object	Action	F4.03	Number of new collaborations or connections between the platform and the Food Lab	0	0	3					supports market linkages								model integration					new actor connections
49	Fundac	Object	Action	F5.01	Number of NbS awareness or training sessions conducted	0	0	3	NbS promoted publicly					technical/awareness training						NbS trainings						
50	Fundac	Object	Action	F5.02	Number of farmers, producers, and students engaged in awareness activities	0	0	3											soil-related NbS relevance	stakeholder participation in NbS work						direct involvement
51	Fundac	Object	Action	F5.03	Hectares or sites covered by grazing or NbS management plans	0	0	2		long-term planning									soil regeneration indicator							
52	Fundac	Object	Action	F5.04	Number of agro-pastoral or NbS-related products promoted online and at events	0	0	1	public promotion																	
53	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.01	Number of participatory design workshops	0	5	3						learning through participatory sessions							workshops involve community design					workshops involve community design
54	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.02	Workshops involving users with accessibility needs	0	2	3							encouraged diverse participation	demand across social groups							informs accessible design features			co-design with priority groups
55	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.03	No. of applicants for urban garden	0	10	2																		community interest indicator
56	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.04	Number and type of expert stakeholders involved, e.g. therapists, elderly care, teachers, school children	0	2	1																		formalised stakeholder network
57	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.05	How many expert stakeholders actively involved in the pilot area	/	/	1																		active co-working
58	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.06	Community satisfaction *perhaps garden user feedback via verbal day to day interactions or via email	/	/	2						continuous learning from feedback										measures community trust levels		
59	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.07	Number of user feedbacks integrated into future workshop planning and design revisions	/	/	2													feedback loops for participation					community shapes revisions
60	Zagreb	Object	na	Z1.08	Percentage (estimated number) of user feedback with improved well-being or therapeutic benefit from participation in gardening	/	/	2							benefit across user groups									well-being strengthens community		

Bauhaus Bites Monitoring and Evaluation Bottom up metrics (WP6)								Thematic Indicator Classification																		
No.	City	Obj	Act	Code	Bottom-Up Metric	Baseline <i>(pre-project)</i>	Ass.1 <i>(M13 - 30th November 2025)</i>	No.	18	17	7	1	4	22	19	1	7	8	5	5	5	11	6	8	33	15
									Communication & Awareness	Cross-Cutting Impact & Strategic Integration	Design Quality & Aesthetic Experience	Digital Innovation & Transparency	Economic & Markets	Education & Capacity Building	Equity, Inclusion & Cultural Diversity	Food Diversity	Food Literacy & Cultural Identity	Governance & Policy Innovation	Healthy soils	Nature-Based Solutions & Biodiversity	Participatory Methods	Replicability & Scalability	Spatial Transformation & Accessibility	Social Cohesion & Trust	Stakeholder Engagement & Co-Creation	Strengthening Partnerships
73	Project	na	na	CDE.01	9 outreach activities to promote mainstreaming of BB	/	/	3	outreach promotes visibility	supports mainstreaming objectives											outreach supports uptake elsewhere					
74	Project	na	na	CDE.02	At least 30 sister projects, networks and platforms cooperated with, e.g. sharing of project knowledge and materials	/	/	4		strategic alignment across initiatives				Knowledge sharing							supports transfer of models					collaboration with sister networks
75	Project	na	na	CDE.03	At least 15 cooperation events and outreach activities held to establish relations with sister projects, partners, and networks	/	/	3	outreach-focused events	connects strategic ecosystem actors																relationship-building events
76	Project	na	na	CDE.04	At least 500 participants attending events and workshops held by project	/	/	2	broad audience engagement					learning through event participation												
77	Project	na	na	CDE.05	At least 75 cities, policymakers and relevant initiatives that receive the BB innovation report, BB portfolio, vision document and other project outputs	/	/	3	distribution of project materials	expands project influence								informs policymaking audiences								
78	Project	na	na	CDE.06	At least 10 grassroots organizations or similar partners engaged with by cities	/	/	3							involving diverse community actors										direct community involvement	engagement with grassroots groups